

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,319		07/23/2001		Jeremy Mitts	MEDIA P-3 CIP	3594	
	28752	7590	01/12/2006		EXAM	IINER	
	LACKENB	ACH SII	EGEL, LLP		YENKE,	YENKE, BRIAN P	
	LACKENBACH SIEGEL BUILDING						
	1 CHASE ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER 👵 🤇	
	SCARSDAL	E, NY	10583		2614		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

€ €

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Summer	09/911,319	MITTS ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2614						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on A	mendment 15 Aug 05/Comi	ments 02 Nov 05.						
		his action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the applica	ition.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers								
_	•	iner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	LAAmmer. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form F	10-102.					
_	•								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		A 11 (1 A)						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	en received in this Nationa	i Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen		_							
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)					

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 02 Nov 05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's Arguments

- a) Applicant states that Corey and/or Hullinger do not disclose "automatically contacting", "an inquiry client that is not currently accessing said server", a "non current inquiry client" and "the targeting of a prospective customer".
- b) Applicant states that Hullinger is unrelated to an remote from automatically alerting a non-current inquirty client and automatically transmitting a tailored search resultant report.

Examiner's Response

a) The examiner disagrees. Cory discloses a system which a user via terminal 76 requests queries (Fig 5a/b), or an operator/technician via control module 60 (Fig 1) to select which programs/video are captured by one or more receivers 24 (col 4, line 39-67). As shown in Fig 5B, once a search has been requested from a user (inquiry client---current or not, since the user just has to be current to request and may select from the list during another session (i.e. the user does not have to sit at the terminal awaiting results-----the results will wait for the user, step 528. The targeting of a prospective customer is met where does the user wish to view/select a

Art Unit: 2614

program of the list which was generated, if so the user is obviously a customer, being targeted by the generated list/results.

b) The examiner disagrees. The examiner relied upon Hullinger to show "a server" which may store/retrieve/process data, since Corey did not explicitly recite such a conventional device. Corey as stated below recites a closed caption storage 72 (Fig 1, 2), in which a control module 60 receives the decoded closed caption data via decoder 52 and transforms all closed caption input data into a common closed caption format (col 5, line 31-34), for retrieval/searching/transmission of the appropriate data to the user.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corey, US 5,703,655 in view of Hullinger et al., US 6,295,092.

In considering claims 21-22, and 27-29, 24-28,

- a) the claimed a tuner... is met by tuner receiver 24 (Fig 2)
- b) the claimed a decoder is met by closed caption decoder 52 (Fig 2)

Application/Control Number: 09/911,319

Art Unit: 2614

c) the claimed a text handler is met by closed caption formatter 204 (Fig 2) which transforms all closed caption data into a common format and outputs the caption data to at least a video retrieval index generator 212 and optionally to close caption storage 72 (col 5, line 31-57).

e) the claimed a previously submitted search profile... is met by user input device 76 (Fig 1).

f) the claimed processing means... is met by video retrieval system 20 where the closed-caption data is evaluated using engine 232, which evaluates a users/operators request using a context-free query evaluator and a semantic query evaluator 240 (Fig 7). The text thus stored in the storage 72, may contain any predetermined letters or characters defined by the search string implemented by a user.

However, Corey does not explicitly recite the use of a server (limitation d). Corey does disclose the storage of text files both in closed caption storage 72 and generator 212.

Corey discloses a video retrieval system 20 which can interact with a plurality of users, plurality of video signal sources/tuners, where the control module 60/formatter 204 transforms all closed caption input data into a common input and output the data to at least an index generator 212 and optionally to a closed caption storage 72 (Fig 1, 2). Corey also discloses a control module 60 which receives the decoded closed caption data via decoder 52 and transforms all closed caption input data into a common closed caption format (col 5, line 31-34).

Hullinger et al., US 6,295,092 discloses a system for analyzing television programs, where a capture machines 14, 16 and 18 which capture the video, audio as well as the closed captioned data and correspondingly the machines break the news stories into segments and classify the contents accordingly. The machines 14, 16 and 18 then transfer the analyzed data to the user interface 24 via server 20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify, Corey, which discloses the acquisition/retrieval/transmission of video/audio/cc data, where a plurality of users can access closed caption storage 72 via control module 60, with Hullinger, to utilize control module 60 as a server which can also provide data/retrieval to a plurality of users.

In considering claims 23-24 and 20-31 and 40,

Corey does not specifically disclose the printable document having information that identifies the inquiry client. Corey does disclose that the documents are identified by titles indicating the category.

The annotation in a search/retrieval system which identifies the requester and the source of the information is conventional in the art. The examiner relies on Hullinger which discloses that the broadcast source is identified in the generated results.

Regarding the document including the inquiry client, both Corey and Hullinger disclose transmitting to the user the information requested. Thus although the record/text might not include the name/inquiry client, the transmission of the document to the appropriate user out of a plurality of users, performs identification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Corey and Hullinger which provide a search resultant television system which allows the user(s) to search/retrieve desired information, and transmitting back to the user the requested/desired information, by also including on the document the user requesting the information, in the event more than one user is utilizing the same computer/PC, which would readily provide the results to the appropriate user.

In considering claims 25, 32 and 39,

Neither Corey nor Hullinger disclose an embedded link. However, the use of an embedded link provided to a user to access additional information is widely known and conventional in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Corey and Hullinger which provide a search resultant television system which allows the user(s) to search/retrieve desired information, and transmitting back to the user the requested/desired information, by also including an embedded link in the information provided to the user to allow the user access to additional information if available.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571)272-7353.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order

Art Unit: 2614

certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

Page 9

BRIAN P. YENKE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

08 January 2006